Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 2791-2 Filed 04/15/25

Page 1 of 4

1	ev-7940
2	C.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3	3:23-cv-04972
4	J.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-03335
5	Jane Doe QLF 0001 v. Uber Technologies,
6	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-08387-CRB
7	Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-06708
8	
9	K.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05281-CRB
10	Amanda Lazio v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No. 3:24-cv-08937-CRB
11	
12	LCHB128 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
13	No. 3:24-cv-7019
14	T.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 23-cv-9217
15	WHB 318 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No. 3:24-
16	cv-04889
17	WHB 407 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
18	No. 3:24-cv-05028
19	WHB 823 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No. 3:24-cv-4900
20	WHB 1486 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
21	No. 3:24-cv-04803
22	WHB 1876 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
23	No. 3:24-cv-05230
24	WHB 1898 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05027
25	Jane Roe CL 68 v. Uber Technologies Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06669-CRB
26	
27	

28

28

- 1	
1	Before the Court is Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA,
2	LLC's Motion to Dismiss ("the Motion"). Having considered the papers filed in support of and in
3	opposition to the Motion, all arguments presented at the hearing, and other matters relevant to the
4	determination of the Motion, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be <b>GRANTED</b> .
5	It is therefore <b>ORDERED</b> that the Court <b>DISMISSES</b> with prejudice:
6	1. The fraud and misrepresentation claims of A.R.2, A.G., B.L., C.L., J.E., Jaylynn
7	Dean, and LCHB128;
8	2. The vicarious-liability claims of WHB 318, WHB 823, and A.G. (including
9	respondeat superior, apparent agency, or any other theory); the ratification claims
10	of A.R.2, WHB 1898, and C.L.; and the apparent agency claims of C.L.;
11	3. The product-liability claims of:
12	a. (i) WHB 1898; (ii) A.R.1; (iii) A.R.2, (iv) B.L., (v) Jane Doe QLF 0001, (vi
13	Jaylynn Dean, (vii) LCHB128, (viii) T.L., and (ix) WHB 1876—to the
14	extent based on allegations about "Safe Ride Matching";
15	b. (i) A.R.1; (ii) A.R.2; (iii) B.L.; (iv) C.L.; (v) D.J.; (vi) J.E.; (vii) Jane Doe
16	QLF 0001; (vii) Jaylynn Dean; (ix) K.E.; (x) LCHB128; (xi) T.L.; (xii)
17	WHB 318; (xiii) WHB 407; (ixv) WHB 1486; and (xv) WHB 1898—to the
18	extent based on allegations about "Gender Matching";
19	<b>c.</b> (i) A.R.2; (ii) Jaylynn Dean; (iii) K.E.; and (iv) A.G.—to the extent based
20	on "App-Based Ride Recording";
21	d. Negligent design defect and breach of warranty, alleged by (i) C.L.; (ii)
22	J.E.; (iii) WHB 318; (iv) WHB 823; (v) WHB 1898; and (vi) D.J.;
23	4. The WHB 1876, WHB 1898, and WHB 407 complaints in their entirety;
24	5. Jane Roe CL 68's unamended complaint in its entirety.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	DATED:
27	HON. CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	
	1